

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/918,984

Applicant(s)

PRADHAN ET AL.

Examiner

James Arnold, Jr.

Art Unit

1764

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James Arnold, Jr.

(3) Patrick J. Kim.

(2) Walter Griffin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 April 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-24.

Identification of prior art discussed: USPN 6,024,865.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed whether more severe alkylation in the initial stage of alkylation followed by a subsequent less severe alkylation stage is a novel process and if U.S. patent 6,024,865 teaches away from this. Furthermore, we discussed Example 1 on page 29 of the specification

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required